8/25/74

Dear Jon,

Last Thursday you spoke to Bob Livingston by phone. (No, I wasn't there. I was supposed to be but decided I wasn't really needed so I'd do other work.)

You do your thing but when you talk to him without someone in his office to hold both his feet you'll continue to get nut stuff.

However, he should have had a fine story to tell you. I have no knowledge of what you used. This is my real purpose in writing. I really would like a file of all your stories beginning with that insane caper with the alleged intermediary. You can be sure the government has it and we can be sure that we'll have to face its use if the state can find a way. It has tried and is trying. It thus is important to know not only what you used but whether if it is used against us/it is used faithfully. I have nailed the government time and again omitting the relevant and what alters meaning entirely.

That was a remarkable proceeding. But the man who deserves credit is Jim Lesar. Livingston, who has done almost no real work on the case, didn't even open his mouth in court from what I've been told.

The amount of work Lesar has put into this case few lawyers would believe any lawyer would invest in any case. Not even for millionaire clients. It shows and he deserves credit, much of it.

If Bob told you what happened, we figured the state would be sure to appeal and we have learned that they have announced their decision. Appeal.

They were sandirty in papers they filed, false and defamatory abuse of process. I think it was of material help to us because it was possible to refute it promptly and beyond reasonable question. That was done before the hearing, so the judge had a head freshly stuffed with a heap of the truth.

Don't be afraid or ashamed to send me the stuff you said you would. Someone sent me the Sprague story. He didn't indicate the paper but I've read it. Sometimes I should keep up with what the nuts are doing and saying and any inferences that they may be serving other interests, whether or not consciously. There are times of delicate situations. This is one of them. While I hate to read such drivvel, it sometimes is necessary and often is informative, worth the wasted time.

The state did attach some of the bad stuff in Computers to a recent brief. They can get almost anything in the record this way.

They may try more of this.

Best regards,